

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

FILED

APR - 9 2008

**RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

3COM CORP,	No C 03-2177 VRW
Plaintiff,	VERDICT FORM
v	
REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR CORP,	
Defendant.	

VERDICT FORM

1 1. Do you find that it is more likely than not that
2 Realtek literally infringed the following patent claims?

3
4 Patent 5,434,872, Claim 10: X Yes No
5 Patent 5,732,094, Claim 47: X Yes No
6 Patent 6,570,884, Claim 1: X Yes No

7
8
9 2. Do you find that it is more likely than not that
10 Realtek infringed the following patent claims under the doctrine of
11 equivalents?

12
13 Patent 5,434,872, Claim 10: Yes X No
14 Patent 5,732,094, Claim 47: Yes X No
15 Patent 6,570,884, Claim 1: Yes X No

16
17
18 3. Do you find that it is more likely than not that
19 Realtek induced infringement by another of the following patent
20 claims?

21
22 Patent 5,307,459, Claim 1: X Yes No
23 Patent 5,434,872, Claim 10: X Yes No
24 Patent 5,434,872, Claim 21: X Yes No
25 Patent 5,732,094, Claim 28: X Yes No
26 Patent 5,732,094, Claim 47: X Yes No
27 Patent 6,570,884, Claim 1: X Yes No
28

1 4. Do you find that it is highly probable that Realtek
2 willfully infringed the following patent claims?

3
4 Patent 5,307,459, Claim 1: X Yes No
5 Patent 5,434,872, Claim 10: X Yes No
6 Patent 5,434,872, Claim 21: X Yes No
7 Patent 5,732,094, Claim 28: X Yes No
8 Patent 5,732,094, Claim 47: X Yes No
9

10
11 5. Do you find that it is highly probable that the
12 following patent claims are invalid due to anticipation?

13
14 Patent 5,307,459, Claim 1: Yes X No
15 Patent 5,434,872, Claim 10: Yes X No
16 Patent 5,434,872, Claim 21: Yes X No
17 Patent 5,732,094, Claim 28: Yes X No
18 Patent 5,732,094, Claim 47: Yes X No
19 Patent 6,570,884, Claim 1: Yes X No
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

6. Do you find that it is highly probable that the following patent claims are invalid due to the statutory bar?

Patent 5,307,459, Claim 1:	<u> </u> Yes	<u> X </u> No
Patent 5,434,872, Claim 10:	<u> </u> Yes	<u> X </u> No
Patent 5,434,872, Claim 21:	<u> </u> Yes	<u> X </u> No
Patent 5,732,094, Claim 28:	<u> </u> Yes	<u> X </u> No
Patent 5,732,094, Claim 47:	<u> </u> Yes	<u> X </u> No
Patent 6,570,884, Claim 1:	<u> </u> Yes	<u> X </u> No

7. Do you find that it is highly probable that the following patent claims are invalid due to obviousness?

Patent 5,307,459, Claim 1:	<u> </u> Yes	<u> X </u> No
Patent 5,434,872, Claim 10:	<u> </u> Yes	<u> X </u> No
Patent 5,434,872, Claim 21:	<u> </u> Yes	<u> X </u> No
Patent 5,732,094, Claim 28:	<u> </u> Yes	<u> X </u> No
Patent 5,732,094, Claim 47:	<u> </u> Yes	<u> X </u> No
Patent 6,570,884, Claim 1:	<u> </u> Yes	<u> X </u> No

1 8. If you find that:

2 (a) Patent 5,307,459, Claim 1, Patent 5,434,872,
3 Claim 21 and/or Patent 5,732,094, Claim 28 is not
4 invalid

5 AND/OR

6 (b) Patent 5,434,872, Claim 10, Patent 5,732,094,
7 Claim 47 and/or Patent 6,570,884, Claim 1 has been
8 infringed AND is not invalid

9 then you must decide the amount of damages that 3Com is entitled
10 to.

11 What is that amount of damages?

12 \$45,300,000.00

13
14
15
16 Dated: 4/9/08

17 
18 FOREPERSON
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28